

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Hints for the group work: reconstruction and quality assessment of the impact hypothesis</b></p>
--

Group Credit Proposal

**Steps:**

1. Chose somebody who will present in plenary
  
2. **Individually (25-30')**:
  - a) first have a look at the quality criteria (below) to help you identify the main elements of the IH
  - b) then go through the logframe of the CP and identify the main elements. Based on these elements formulate a short narrative that synthesizes the impact hypothesis (don't fill the gaps by yourself!).
  - c) have a look at the text (the parts of the text relating to IH of the domain are highlighted) and gather additional elements if necessary
  - d) write your reconstructed IH on the flipchart, it should be a paragraph of a couple of lines

**Collectively (30-45')**

- a) compare the different versions and consolidate in a single version without discussing contents → 1<sup>st</sup> part of the feedback in plenary
- b) assess the quality of the IH according to the quality criteria (below) – discussion on content welcome! – for each criteria look at strong aspects / gaps / incoherence .. → 2<sup>nd</sup> part of the feedback in plenary
- c) reflect on the discussion you had together
  - a. what was striking, important from your perspective?
  - b. what kind of challenges do you face ?
  - c. was it useful, what do you see as added value of such an explicit discussion?→ main findings: 3<sup>rd</sup> part of the feedback

**Présentation in plenary (1 flipchart sheet, 5 Min.)**

1. your consolidated formulation of the IH
2. your assessment of the quality of the IH
3. your findings from the reflection exercise

## **Quality criteria for a results oriented impact hypothesis.**

A good quality IH:

- describes plausible cause effects of the main results chain
- includes the main outcomes on both levels: 1) population and 2) organizations / systems and show how these are linked
- relates to other stakeholders (state, civil society, private sector, other donors) and their role; making the intervention's contribution logic explicit
- links with differentiated definition of the primary stakeholders (disadvantaged groups /gender)
- reflects on power and changing power relations
- links to selected key development issues identified in the context analysis, such as root causes of poverty, factors for lacking access to basic services, mechanisms of discrimination
- makes clear which poverty dimensions are addressed
- makes explicit the transformation of the fragile situation to be supported