

## Peer2Peer Reflection – GRB/SIB

### ***Is the framework (HRBA together with budget cycle) useful?***

- to identify entry points
- for participatory budgeting, but less useful for budget analysis
- yes user friendly
- helps demystifying: all elements are there
- it helps “connect the dots”: people realize that things they are already doing are part of GRB/ SIB, but it goes beyond.
- It helps to get more coherent and strategic.

### ***What are its strengths and limitations?***

- The link to the national budget is not clear
- Sustainability issues are not considered
- Doesn't help to answer the questions (crucial from a SCO perspective):
  - Is it the right thing to do in my context? (pre-requisites)
  - which part of it is more relevant for my context (budget analysis / participatory process)
- quality and disaggregation of data are big issues
- avoid jargon and be user-friendly

### ***General questions***

- How to identify / define socially excluded groups / people and how to ensure their participation and ensure they benefit from budget.
- How to link with public revenue monitoring?
- How to link with SDC's PCM
- Do we follow money (accountability) or do we follow poor / excluded people (participation)? How to decide about which way is the right one in a given context?
- What is SDC position in collaborating with Seco on PFM and GRB/SIB?

***Do you have specific needs in terms of support or guidance? Would you like to know more about a specific topic/aspect?***

- Need to demystify and clarify concept
- Capacity building (training) on GRB/SIB for program staff
- Training in advocacy and policy influencing on GRB SIB for decision makers
- The framework should be used and documented on a specific concrete project, in general more documented examples would be useful
- Provide hints for tools / approach to monitor/ measure impact of GRB/SIB
- Support to promote GRB & SIB jointly