## Proyecto Postcosecha América Latina

## Summary of 5 market studies in postharvest technologies (Honduras, Nicaragua, Bolivia, Ecuador and Peru) January 2015

### Introduction

SDC has promoted sale and distribution of metal silo to more than 400'000 rural households in Central America from 1983 to 2009. However, coverage is not yet near saturation and post-production technologies and practices are still needed and relevant since post-harvest losses for grains remain high in Latin America (between 10 and 30% of production). It is acknowledged that reducing postharvest losses is a way to ensure food availability but also presents opportunities to sell surplus in better price conditions as well as increase farmers' incomes. Updated market studies from 2013 show that the demand for post-production technologies and practices is still unsatisfied (240'000 silos required in Honduras and 50'000 in Ecuador). This is an interesting market opportunity especially for agribusiness companies and retailers who traditionally sell inputs. This analysis has motivated SDC to launch a new project in Latin America, the "Proyecto Postcosecha América Latina (PPAL)".

With this project, SDC in partnership with private sector actors aims at increasing income and food security of 130'000 rural families in 5 Latin American countries. Selling postproduction solutions for maize and beans to subsistence and low-income farmers will allow agribusiness companies to enter into new markets, while reducing postharvest losses and improving life conditions for small farmers. Replication of the business model will be supported by conducive policies and knowledge management.

#### Market studies

At the beginning of 2013, market studies were carried out in 5 countries of Latin America (Honduras, Nicaragua, Bolivia, Ecuador and Peru) with the objective to prepare the new postharvest intervention of SDC in the region. The studies were realized by the INNOVABRIDGE Foundation under the lead of Ernst Schaltegger together with national consultants.

The studies focused in analyzing the postharvest sector (in 2013) and the potential for postharvest technologies businesses run by private actors. Data were gathered on the following issues: i) previous support and project related to the topic; ii) analysis of the key stakeholders of the postharvest subsector; iii) relevant policies related to the sector; iv) supply and demand (theoretical and real) of technologies; v) a general economic evaluation (cost-benefit analysis for tinsmiths and farmers); vi) gender aspects.

An executive summary of the studies is available in Spanish. However, the table translated below contains the main results.

# Summary of the 5 market studies

Aspects	Honduras	Nicaragua	Bolivia	Ecuador	Peru
1. Background	Start of SDC support in 1980; 250'000 silos disseminated till 2012	Start of SDC support in 1992; 122'000 silos disseminated till 2012	FAO- Holland Project in 1990; Dissemination of 5'000 silos for 2 companies in the last 10 years.	FAO project in 1990, Dissemination of 1'000 silos for families and communities.	SDC and FAO in the 90's. Transfer of 350 Silos.
2. Key stakeholders	Government (INFOP), FAO, NGOs, tinsmiths.	Government (INTA), FAO, NGOs, tinsmiths.	A few NGOs, 2 companies	Government, a few NGOs, 8 tinsmiths.	Ministry of Agriculture MINAGRI & INIA (agricultural research centre)
3. Existing relevant policies	Explicit postharvest policies with weak implementation.	Food security policy but no specific postharvest issues at family level.	Food security policy but no specific postharvest issues at family level.	Food security and postharvest management (in general).	Explicit food security policy.
4. Theoretical demand of postharvest technologies	23'600 silos of 18 qq yearly for a 10 years period ** 1quintal (qq)= 100 pounds=45.4 kg	24'000 silos of 18 qq yearly for a 10 years period	148'000 family-based units to satisfy	18'000 silos of 30 qq yearly for a period of 10 years	30 milions of qq without storage
5. Real demand of postharvest technologies	Theoretical demand could be satisfied with promotion	Theoretical demand could be satisfied with promotion	Till 7'500 silo yearly in a 10 years period	5'000 silos of 30 qq in 5 years (without the Coast)	18'000 silos of 8qq in 4 years
6. Technologies supply	12'000 silos per year elaborated by 50 tinsmiths	6'000 silos per year elaborated by 150 tinsmiths	500-1'000 silos per year with 2 companies	500 silos per year elaborated by 8 tinsmiths	Trained tinsmiths without known production
7. Competitive products	Grain-Pro bags	Grain –Pro bags and plastic barrels	Bags, plastic barrels	Plastic bags	Plastic barrels
8 Cost of the silo	USD 115 for 18 qq silos	USD 107 for 18 qq silos	USD 80 for 6 qq silos	USD 150 for 30 qq silos	USD 65 for 8 qq silos

9. Adoption issues and	Lack of training for the	Lack of training for the	Existing silos are well	Existing silos are well	Strong interest but few
gender aspects	good use of the silo;	good use of the silo;	managed; strong interest	managed; women	evidence of good
-	women play a key role in	women are less	of the women	associations active in the	management
	the household food	prominent than in		maize commercialization	
	provision	Honduras in the food			
		provision			
10 Benefits for the users of	18 qq silo:	18 qq silo:	6 qq silo:	30 qq silo:	8 qq silo:
the silos	VAN:USD 22	VAN:USD 30	VAN:USD 23	VAN:USD 216	VAN: USD 13
	TIR: 214%	TIR: 24%	TIR: 24%	TIR: 61%	TIR:27%
	BC:1.23	BC: 1.27	BC:1.15	BC:1.83	BC: 1.28
11 Benefits for the	Sales of 120 silos	Sales of 120 silos	Sales of 120 silos	Sales of 48 silos	Sales of 120 silos
tinsmiths	(18 qq) for USD 115,	(18 qq) for USD 107,	(6 qq) for USD 80,	(30 qq) for USD 150,	(8 qq) for USD 65,
	working capital of USD	working capital of USD	working capital of USD	working capital of USD	working capital of USD
	1'500: VAN:USD 4'198	1500: VAN:USD 6'077	1000: VAN:USD 4'224	1'500: VAN:USD 5'086	1'000: VAN: USD 1'386
	TIR:77%	TIR: 103%	TIR: 105%	TIR: 87%	TIR: 53%
	BC:1.19	BC: 1.13	BC:1.12	BC:1.23	BC: 1.08
12.Conclusions	In Honduras the metal	Tinsmiths are well	Despite the small	Big gap of capacity of	There are very few
	silo is relatively well	organized. A	capacity of silos, the	storage especially in the	existing experiences.
	known and the	dissemination of the	business seems viable	Costal area.	However, the potential
	government keeps	technologies (silos and	for users and tinsmiths.	Strong interest and	demand is high. The
	promoting it. A new	others) with more private	The strong interest	capacity of the	consultants recommend
	dissemination effort of	sector involvement is	shown by the women will	technologies good	a selective start in three
	the technologies (silos	promising.	require a gender-	management by women.	regions with different
	and others) looks		sensitive promotion.		grains targeting family-
	promising.				based production units.