Conclusions from the Stock-take on:

"Gender and Intergovernmental Transfers to Subnational Governments" May 5-15, 2015

Summary prepared by: Jonas Frank, with inputs from Eveline Stettler and Corinne Huser

In joint collaboration between Gendernet and DDLGN a stock-take on GENDER and FISCAL DECENTRALISATION was conducted between May 5-15, 2015. The exchange focused on the gender dimension in intergovernmental fiscal transfer schemes. Given this theme is a "frontier topic" with limited conceptual development and references to country cases, the consultation was framed as a "stock-take" with the aim to build up a first information base.

This Summary provides the main findings from the stock-take along the four questions that were posed. Given the early stage of knowledge development, the approaches summarized here do not constitute policy options or even recommendations. They simply highlight different approaches that are being applied by countries; in some cases, further information is required in order to fully understand the specific objectives, design, and operation of the mentioned transfer systems.

Without constituting a specific or comprehensive guideline for implementation, an Annex summarizes the main technical and problem analysis that would need to conducted if gender-oriented transfers are being considered.

We appreciate the valuable inputs for the stock-take that were received by: Blandine Agossou (SDC, Benin); Hussain Akhlaqi (SDC, Afghanistan); Khim Lal Devkota (Nepal); Jonas Frank (SDC); Natasha Ilieva-Acevska (NALAS); Zahirul Islam (Helvetas, Bangladesh); Valbona Karakaci (Helvetas, Albania); Kristina Kolozova (SDC, Macedonia); Tobgyal Kingzang (SDC, Bhutan); Zolzaya Lkhagvasuren (SDC, Mongolia); Annonciata Ndikumasabo (SDC); Norbert A.E.M. Pijls (DEMOS/Kosovo); Lilia Tverdun (Helvetas, Bangladesh); Jesper Steffenson (DEGE Consult); Sally Yacoub (SDC, Egypt); and Elena Zakirova (SDC, Kyrgyz Republic).

The full set of comments received is being circulated in a separate document, along with supplementary information which was submitted.

Content:

- 1. Question 1: Understanding the Gender Challenge
- 2. Question 2: Transfer Objectives and Design Elements
- 3. Question 3: Reports and Studies
- 4. Question 4: The role of SDC
- 5. Conclusions and the Way Forward

Annex: Problem Analysis and Transfer Design Issues

1. Question 1: Understanding the Gender Challenge

Guiding Questions:

- What are key challenges regarding gender equality in your country context?
- Is there a "territorial/subnational" dimension? For instance, do we observe regional disparities with regards to gender equality?
- Are there gender-specific needs for women and men that require specific financing and resourcing on subnational/local level? (i.e. regarding access to education, health care, mobility and transport, public and personal security etc.)

Peers have mentioned gender-specific issues in a wide range of sectors and service delivery areas. The examples referred to include:

- Justice (Afghanistan);
- Transport (Kosovo);
- Health care (Kosovo), including elderly care (Macedonia); maternity health (Bangladesh);
- Education analfabetism (Benin); school drop-outs (Mongolia); Children day care and pre-school (Kyrgy Republic; Macedonia); tertiary education (Mongolia); women specific facilities at schools (Bangladesh);
- Irrigated water (Kyrgyz Republic);
- Water and sanitation (Bangladesh).

With regards to the territorial dimension of the gender challenge, participants have noted three different sets of problems.

- (i) The urban-rural divide appears to be the main territorial cleavage, with rural areas often discriminating to a higher degree than urban areas. This is often compounded by the more limited coverage and quality of services in the rural areas particularly with regards to infrastructure. Direct country references to the urban-rural issue include Kosovo, Macedonia, Kyrgyz Republic, and Bangladesh.
- (ii) Territories which are marked by ethnic differences (Kosovo Serb Majority municipalities).
- (iii)Territories with high poverty incidence (Egypt).

There is robust evidence that a series of contextual factors do reinforce the issues stated above. These include, among others:

- Gender-based violence;
- Participation in decision-making;
- Representation of either population groups in: (i) political representation (national or local councils); (ii) employment opportunities in the public administration.
- Traditional roles of either population groups in society or the economy.

Another mentioned considerable challenge is the general lack of robust gender-disaggregated data in various sectors as well as inadequate use of available data, from policy planning through to service provision (Mongolia). This can undermine, among others: the analysis and definition of the specific service delivery problem at hand; dialogue and agreement among stakeholders about the challenges and issues at hand; and ultimately also the design of transfers and monitoring of their implementation.

2. Question 2: Transfer Objectives and Design Elements

Guiding questions:

- Do you know of any transfer scheme (grants, development or infrastructure funds, other) that in its design addresses these (local) gender challenges? How?
- For instance, do you know of examples of a transfer scheme that follows a needs-based approach and which includes the gender dimensions?
- What allocation criteria are being used?
- Do you know of so-called "performance transfers" which consider the gender dimension? What criteria are being used in this case?
- What could be incentives for subnational governments to plan for and spend on gender-sensitive programs? (Do you have examples?)

The stock-take has brought up useful references to (i) transfers to subnational governments; (ii) performance transfers as a financial incentive mechanism; and (iii) complementary measures to transfers. The summary and conclusions are as follows.

2.1. Transfers to Subnational Governments

Approach One: Transfers to subnational governments with resources earmarked to specific population groups

Earmarking of resources is a useful approach to signal national priorities to subnational governments¹. This is particularly warranted in "merit goods" which are related to ensuring basic needs are covered; these often include health and education. The gender dimension falls into these categories. In such sectors a core objective is to ensure service delivery at comparable if not equitable standards across the different localities. Earmarking can address these type of quality criteria. Although earmarking restrains local autonomy and it is cumbersome to monitor compliance in practice², the signaling effect is useful.

The example of Bangladesh is an illustrative case for earmarking to specific population groups. The so-called LGSP II, a national supported local governance project, is one of the projects of the government which enforces in its guideline for the local governance institutions (LGIs) to spend 30 percent of the amounts transferred for women.

Approach Two: Allocation criteria for sectoral transfers

Another approach is to use allocation criteria in sectoral transfers. The social sectors are particularly relevant. There are two possibilities.

(i) Using allocation criteria which explicitly refer to gender

Country examples include the following:

- Kosovo: the formula for the Specific Grant for Health takes into account age and gender distribution of persons registered at primary health care providers.
- England, Italy, Norway, Scotland, Wales apply gender factors in "need-based, specific-purpose transfers for core health services"³.
- Belgium, Germany, Netherlands, and Switzerland apply gender factors in "need-based top-up for health care in general grants"⁴.

¹ This could be complemented by additional regulatory requirements for local service delivery, for instance, ensuring access to services which given the problems identified are particularly important.

² Resources provided by transfers are fungible—once entered the subnational government budget it is impossible to trace back the different sources of funding. Such analysis can only be done on estimates and using case analysis, including field visits.

³ Source: Boadway, Robin; Shah, Anwar (eds.), 2007, "Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers - Principles and Practic", World Bank, Washington DC, page 38.

- Rwanda earmarks transfers for Gender Based Violence (GBV)
- Using needs-based allocation criteria which indirectly benefit either men or women (ii) Country examples include:
 - Kosovo: the formula for the Specific Grant for Education takes into account 'special needs' and 'location' which might indirectly benefit women.

Transfers can be designed to ensure territorial redistribution, aimed at territorial equity across the different subnational units. An example is the so-called "Regional Allocation" used in the UK and which finances subnational health expenditures with a view to foster territorial equity. It uses three factors, the first of which explicitly being a gender indicator, namely age and sex with regards to "expected health care utilization of each demographic group, approximated using the national average of hospital bed utilization and adjusted standardized mortality ratios" (Smith 2008)⁶.

Approach Three: Donor grants to subnational governments for projects managed by specific beneficiaries

In this approach donor grants to subnational governments are conditioned to the use and benefit by specific beneficiaries; the beneficiaries themselves initiate, manage and implement the projects.

An example is Bangladesh. The SDC supported Local Governance Programme Sharique provides donor grants to the Union Parishads (UP) for projects initiated and implemented by women in collaboration with the LGIs (local government institutions).

2.2. Performance Transfers

Performance transfers provide a monetary incentive to subnational governments for the fulfillment of certain targets or criteria. These have been increasingly popular given they can cover potentially wide ranging service-delivery and policy-relevant areas. Performance transfers therefore are a potentially useful tool to improve the framework conditions for address gender-related issues, always taking into account that subnational governments are heterogeneous in their capacities and therefore heterogeneous responses to the targets need to be anticipated.

Country cases which are using performance based transfers in relation to gender include the following:

- Nepal: performance evaluations for local governments include indicators related to gender issues, e.g. whether local governments have prepared overall district plan for the targeted groups including women; and whether they have allocated a required minimum budget for these groups (local governments have to ensure at least 35 % for the targeted groups including women: 10 % women, 10 % children and 15 % disadvantages groups).
- Bangladesh: participation of women and involvement of women in decision-making.
- In Burundi, SDC funded an IGFTs (FONIC) managed by the Ministry of District Development. Based on a performance assessment, each fiscal year the best

⁴ Boadway et al. page 38.

⁵ Allocation objectives and criteria were adjusted over several decades and is based on "weighted capitation". Further sources of information on this approach in: Smith, P. (2008). "Resource allocation and purchasing in the health sector: the English experience." Bulletin of the World Health Organization 86: 884-888. Also refer to: http://www.cgdev.org/sites/default/files/CGD-Consultation-Draft-Glassman-Sakuma-IGFT-Health.pdf

⁶ The other two factors are (i) local clinical need (conditions broken down into a number of broad categories; local, condition-specific standard mortality ratios calculated for population of an area) and (ii) area-specific costs (area cost adjustments applied to all budgets to reflect the large variations in input prices, especially pay, among regions).

communes were awarded a bonus by the IGFT. One of the criteria for performance was on gender sensitive investment, that is, what investment the commune has done that addresses women issues.

Some countries are at the conceptualization stage with regards to performance transfers:

- For Eastern Europe, NALAS is starting to introduce performance based criteria for gender topic.
- Rwanda is preparing performance based transfer which considers gender.

2.3. Complementary Measures

Peers have mentioned several additional or complementary measures which can be given consideration.

First, gender-responsive budgeting⁷ can be used as a useful preparatory step to transfers; or as a framework for resource allocation in parallel to gender-specific transfers. Gender-responsive budgeting:

- analyses the (national, subnational) budget from a gender perspective (Gender Budget Analysis);
- determines how revenue and expenditure affect women, men, girls and boys who benefits from budgetary decisions and who does not?
- develops starting points for a gender-equal restructuring of the (national) budget and to effect changes in the fiscal policy priorities;
- ensures that resource allocation is done efficiently.

Given it involves important measures which can go beyond service delivery, gender-responsive budgeting can be usefully supported by performance transfers.

Second, transfers targeted to individuals—as opposed to transfers to subnational governments which are the topic of the stock-take—can ensure gender relevant impacts. These can come either as conditional cash transfers—example of Egypt being relevant case—or other means which ensure individualized benefit, for instance voucher schemes. Importantly, these mechanisms do not ensure service delivery, but ensure that individuals use available public services (provided by national or subnational governments) which remove any gender-related barriers to access.

3. Question 3: Reports and Studies

A package of relevant information is being circulated separately.

4. Question 4: The Role of SDC

Guiding question:

• Do you know of any SDC support activity with regards to gender and fiscal decentralization?

Explicit involvement of SDC with regards to <u>financing</u> gender-related transfers rather seem to be the exception. These are related to the few cases of performance transfers which include a gender component (Bangladesh, Nepal).

From the responses of peers obtained in this stock-take, one can conclude that SDC contributes to this topic mostly through its gender mainstreaming approach in all its

⁷ Rwanda, for instance, is developing "budget circulars" which develop indicators for gender equality: in gender-based violence, education, health, and justice.

cooperation strategies. This is enhanced by specific gender-relevant activities and projects, for instance, those which are aimed at strengthening women and their organizations in policy-making and participation; in coaching municipalities in inclusive policies and practices; and in gender-responsive budgeting which, as mentioned above, is a particularly relevant complementary measure to transfers (cases in Eastern Europe, Rwanda).

5. Conclusion from the Stock-Take and the Way Forward

From this stock-take, four conclusions can be drawn:

- First, the "gender and transfers" topic is a "frontier topic" with still limited conceptual development and systematization of country experiences. It elicits a series of further questions which would need to be addressed, including a thorough problem analysis in order to drill-down at the specific gender issues which can be effectively addressed with transfers to subnational governments as a specific financial mechanism. Some of these conditions are spelled out in the Annex.
- Second, gender-focused transfers are likely to be applicable only in a narrow set of service delivery situations. A series of conditions need to be in place so that transfers to subnational governments can be effective. Given complex causal relationships it is not a surprise that most gender sensitive transfers are related to the social sectors where the health sector is prominent, given cost and need differentials can be considerable.
- Third, in order to ensure the provision of key services with commensurate access
 of population groups, transfers are likely not only earmarked but also require
 additional regulations. For this reason transfers can end up as an administratively
 burdensome instrument and also limit local autonomy in the choice of service delivery
 models.
- Fourth, transfers are best accompanied by a series of additional measures, particularly those which create appropriate framework conditions or establish complementary incentives for individuals. These chiefly include: (i) establishing a framework for resource allocation, particularly gender-responsive budgeting; and (ii) monetary incentives targeted to individuals, among which conditional cash transfers or voucher schemes are useful options; the latter mechanisms do not ensure that service delivery are actually provided, but motivate individuals to use public services (provided by national or subnational governments) which would need to be delivered in a gender-sensitive manner.

Annex: Problem Analysis and Transfer Design

While this stock-take cannot provide a full guideline for design and implementation of gender-specific transfers, a few analytical steps will be required. These steps are relevant for all design questions related to transfers, but given the complexities involved with regards to the gender topic these merit particular care. These related to (i) the problem analysis and (ii) transfer design.

1.) Problem-analysis

Given the complex causal relationships it would be important to conduct a thorough problem analysis that identifies the specific gender issue. It would be useful to proceed in three steps.

A first step is to identify the gender-specific needs for women and men. An illustrative example for this is the health sector which is one area where transfers are being employed to address gender-related issues. There are at least four factors that create differences in the use of health services across the two sexes⁸:

- (i) possible under-use or over-use of services relative to the needs of men and women (for instance, related to preventive care, others);
- (ii) exclusive uses of services (maternity support);
- (iii)constraints in access to service delivery (either population group that cannot access health services due to lack of or insufficient transport to facilities; formal employment which reduces time and opportunity to receive health services);
- (iv)socio-economic condition of the individual to cover costs of health care.

Analysis needs to be conducted whether the identified service delivery problems mentioned above are inherently "in the nature" of different demands of population groups (hence do not per se or necessarily require a response which counteracts discrimination); or whether a unique service delivery problem is at hand which requires a public intervention or response.

A second step is to identify the incentive problems and fiscal disparities which are associated with responsibilities of subnational governments. The main issues generally encompass the following:

- positive externalities are benefits which accrue to other jurisdictions and which create a disincentive for an individual subnational government to use their own resources to spend on a specific service (example: public health issues related to epidemia/immunizations; productivity of the economy);
- needs-based differences which originate in the demographic profile of a jurisdiction (example: higher proportion of men or women with specific needs in a locality, which in turn creates fiscal implications);
- cost-drivers related to geographic conditions (example: rural areas have higher costs to deliver services given population dispersion and distances to be covered)

A third step is to select the factors which a transfer will ultimately address. From the above one needs to differentiate between (i) factors under reasonable control of subnational governments (SNGs), for instance related to efficiency in provision and the quantity and quality of a service; and (ii) factors which are rather "structural" or context conditions and therefore outside the control of SNGs. Typically this would entail needs-and cost based factors (geographic factors or demographic conditions). Transfers would typically be employed for the latter (factors "ii").

It is also clear that the above mentioned analyses require that the responsibilities of subnational governments need to be clearly defined. This is particularly important for shared tasks among several levels of government ("concurrent responsibility"); such shared

⁸ Gender budget analysis/ gender based budgeting could help identify these issues by highlighting sex-disaggregated data.

responsibilities are more common and often required in the social sectors; the case of Albania is insightful in this regard⁹.

2.) Transfer Objectives and Design

The next step is to define the specific transfer objectives and therefore design elements that can best address the problem identified. Some of the possible guiding questions are the following:

- Are positive externalities so significant that the transfer should primarily address and prevent possible under-provision of services?
- Should it address territorial inequities?
- If so, what type of distribution or equalization is sought: is it about ensuring a minimum standard across subnational territories? What standard should be selected, the national average, or some target below?
- Taking into account other intergovernmental fiscal design aspects, how does subnational taxation come into play? Should one strive for comparable service levels at comparable tax rates?
- Should the transfer be targeted to individual population groups?

It is clear that there are no predictions and fixed rules as to how a transfer scheme should be designed – be that for gender-related issues or others. The main point is to tailor design to the specific service delivery problem at hand.

⁹ The example of Albania underscores this point: "Overall there is a consensus that social-health and education services are more gender sensitive, but at the moment such services are shared between local and national government. They are not really a direct function of the local level."